

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/845,267 | 05/01/2001 | Timothy Merrick Long | 169.2039 | 3091 | |
| · 5514 7590 · 08/25/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | CHAMPAGNE, DONALD | | |
| 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3622 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 09/845,267 | LONG, TIMOTHY MERRICK | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Donald L. Champagne | 3622 | | |

| | Donald L. Champagne | 3622 | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing day | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | acalica |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below): | ccause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | w); | · | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See the reply to item "11" below.</u> (See 37 | CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>25,29-31 and 37-41</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | before or on the date of filing a North I sufficient reasons why the affidate | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior | of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SR/08 or PTO: 1446) Popor N | la/a\ | |
| 13. Other: | F10/36/00 01 F10-1949) Faper N | io(s) | |
| | 19 | Donald L. Champag | ine. |
| | DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622 | , . |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The substance of both the amendment and the arguments has been considered in the last Office action (mailed on 25 May 2006).

For example, claim 25 has now been limited to "a plurality of different non-advertising applications". Claim 25 was rejected (para. 4 of the 25 May 2005 Office action) by reference to "whatever content is being shown in the non-advertising area of electronic page 32". That implies a plurality of non-advertising applications.

As to the arguments, on p. 9 (last two sentences of the first full para.), applicant argues

"Thus, Angles is not seen to disclose or to suggest a display area being adapted to display advertising information independently of a non-advertising application being run on the information appliance. Rather, the display of Angles' customized advertisement 30 is seen to be dependent on the user's internet browser software being run."

The browser is irrelevant. The rejection, of claim 25, for example (para. 4), notes that said "application" is WHATEVER (emphasis added) content is being run, which means whatever application is being run, a music video, a spreadsheet, or whatever.

On p.8, first sentence of the second para., applicant takes issue with the examiner's use of "content" for "application". Applicant has a valid grammatical point, but it has no bearing on the patentability of the claims over the cited prior art.